

How do you describe the consortium?

- a. Nominal Collaboration
- It is existing, but its presence is not truly felt
- b. Fragmented
- It is in its infancy stage, hence it is developmental and it is headed towards collaborative

What do you want to happen?

- a. Strengthen partnership
- b. "See the bigger picture"

What do you think are the steps that need to be taken to be able to make this happen?

- Have regular meetings
- Have a well-defined agenda
- Have coordinated activities
- Make sure there is commitment from each member
- Identify the roles of each member and the consortium

SWOT ANALYSIS

STRENGTHS/+POINTS

- Multi-disciplinary and multi-sectoral
- Commitment
- Passion for research
- Availability of resources and opportunities for research
- Institutional mandate
- Support of LGUs, INGOs, NGOs and POs

- Reasonable research infrastructure
- Access to data bank
- Institutions that we represent are desirous to contribute
- Members are middle management and can influence decision-making

WEAKNESS/AREAS FOR IMROVEMENT/LIMITATIONS

- Cultural idiosyncrasies /biases
 - Halal vs. haram
- Poor incentive package/low budget/ Institutional Funding
- Fast turn-over of researchers (brain drain)
- Lack of in-house health research mentors

- Turfing (Expertise monopoly)
- Misinterpretation of/no safeguard for intellectual Property Rights
- Lack of interest or research orientation (de-prioritization of research)
- Lack of research info dissemination & utilization

OPPORTUNITIES

- Available funding support for health research from INGOs
- Strong mandate from national agencies
- Receptiveness to health issues by appropriate agencies
- Presence of infrastructure and amenities to accommodate convergence of activities

- Presence of research institutions and database information network
- Academic research culture developed in local medical and allied health education programs
- Geographical uniqueness
- Cultural diversity
- Access to technology

CHALLENGES

- Peace and Order
- No regular meeting as a consortium
- Limited health research publication
- Lack of research orientation
- Lack of time
- Weak linkage with other health research groups
- Lack of information, education, communication (advocacy)

- Ningas Cogon (lack of sustainability)
- Limited involvement, commitment of agency heads
- Institutional priorities vary mostly geared towards instruction than research
- Low confidence level to do health research
- Lack of baseline information
- Limited exposure to local health researches

GENERAL LISTINGS OF AGENDA

- EDPs/IDPs
- Health Programs Evaluation
- Peace, culture, environment and health
- Cultural, social factors affecting epidemiology
- Drug Dependence
- Health Behavior Modification
- Use of technology in delivering health services

- Access to health care
- Occupational health and safety
- Health laws implementation
- Media studies
- Education and Health

SUMMARY OF TOPICS

ENVIRONMENT Pollution/clean air act Smoking & smoke belching Climate change Solid and liquid waste management

> SOCIO-ECONOMIC Drug dependence Joblessness Food security Migration Human trafficking Politics Sex workers Access to health care

PEACE & ORDER Cultural behaviors Bioterrorism EDP/IDP **EDUCATION Behavior modification** Feeding methods Use of technology Laws and implementation Media studies **Health Education** - Health program Evaluation - Maternal & child health Alternative medicine **Occupational Health &** Safety **Bio-security**

Mindanao Assessment	Notes
Strengths • Presence of individual and institutional champions for research and development • Highly skilled and motivated research manpower • Considerable experience in the management of research activities • Strong desire to collaborate & address the priority health needs of the regions	 Multi-disciplinary and multi-sectoral Commitment Passion for research Availability of resources and opportunities for research Institutional mandate Support of LGUs, INGOs, NGOs andPOs Reasonable research infrastructure Access to data bank Institutions that we represent are desirous to contribute Members are middle management and can influence decision-making

STA

9797 N

a coller

alegeodia.

SR.

Mindanao Assessment	Notes
 Areas for Improvements The work of the research committees is not agenda driven The research projects funded by the consortium are too small and too isolated to make a difference Absence of unifying theme in the work of the different sub-committees Absence of strategic direction; too short planning horizon Minimal collaboration among researchers institutions and across regions that share the same priorities 	 Cultural idiosyncrasies /biases - Halal vs. haram Poor incentive package/low budget/ Institutional Funding Fast turn-over of researchers (brain drain) Lack of in-house health research mentors Turfing (Expertise monopoly) Misinterpretation of/no safeguard for intellectual Property Rights Lack of interest or research orientation
and problems Ineffective and highly inefficient management structures 	(de-prioritization of research) •Lack of research info dissemination & utilization

enter anno anno

A. State of the other of the ot

	Mindanao Assessment	Notes	
	Opportunities •Strong bias within PHNRS for strengthening regional capacity for research and development •Availability of funds for research •Presence of successful models of collaboration in other sectors	 Available funding support for health research from INGOs Strong mandate from national agencies Receptiveness to health issues by appropriate agencies Presence of infrastructure and amenities to accommodate convergence of activities Presence of research institutions and database information network Academic research culture developed in local medical and allied health education programs Geographical uniqueness Cultural diversity Access to technology 	
M. [18]			S))

M.

Mindanao Assessment	Notes
 Challenges Can the institutions and regions in Mindanao work together to address priority health problems and issues in amore comprehensive and strategic manner? Will the regions and institutions in Mindanao challenge the monopoly of Metro Manila over the national research funds? Can the PNHRS reorganize itself to be more responsive to the needs of the regions? Can the existing program of assistance to the regions be restructured to allow for more equity and more support to those who need it more? 	 Peace and Order No regular meeting as a consortium Limited health research publication Lack of research orientation Lack of time Weak linkage with other health research groups Lack of information, education, communication (advocacy) Ningas Cogon (lack of sustainability) Limited involvement, commitment of agency heads Institutional priorities vary mostly geared towards instruction than research Low confidence level to do health research
	Lack of baseline informationLimited exposure to local health

researches

MUCHISIMAS GRACIAS

VISION

A vibrant, dynamic and responsible health research community for the attainment of local, national and global health goals.

MISSION

Develop and sustain an enabling environment for health research toward evidence-based health and health related policies and actions.

GOALS

1. Address the health needs of the people of Zamboanga through relevant, competent and responsible partnership in health research (5);

2. Ensure the effective dissemination and proper utilization of health research findings (3);

3. Establish quality assurance and ethical standards for health-related researches (3);

4. Leverage and manage resources to carry out health-related researches; and (2)5. Warrant the long-term viability of the research consortium (3)

Goals

Address the health needs of the people of
Zamboanga through relevant, competent and responsible partnership in health research (5);

- To establish a system for effective sharing and utilization of physical, financial and human resources and information among member institutions (1,2,4);
- To promote effective coordination in the consortium (1);
- To develop and strengthen human resource for health research (1,3,5)
- To develop effective linkages between workers, researches and research institutions (1,4,5);
- To maintain an updated research agenda (1);

Goals

 ² Ensure the effective dissemination and proper utilization of health research findings (3);

- To establish a system for effective sharing and utilization of physical, financial and human resources and information among member institutions (1,2,4);
- To utilize research findings to influence effective policy advocacy, formulation and/or implementation (2);
- To provide avenues for dissemination and publication (2)

Goals

 ² Establish quality assurance and ethical standards for healthrelated researches (3);

- To develop and strengthen human resource for health research (1,3,5)
- To benchmark with the research findings and / or activities of other institutions in the country so that model research may be replicated (3)
- To implement a scheme for researches to adhere to quality standards and ethical principles (3);

Goals

² Leverage and manage resources to carry out health-related researches; and (2)

Objectives

 To establish a system for effective sharing and utilization of physical, financial and human resources and information among member institutions (1,2,4);

To develop effective
 linkages between workers,
 researches and research
 institutions (1,4,5);

Goals

[?] Warrant the long-term viability of the research consortium (3)

- To develop and strengthen human resource for health research (1,3,5)
- To develop effective
 linkages between workers,
 researches and research
 institutions (1,4,5);
- To develop effective linkages between workers, researches and research institutions (1,4,5);

- Regularize the meeting/s quarterly
- Email address
- E-group
- Develop a research-oriented community
- Research for a
- Create awareness
- Develop a systematized support or process for people involvement
- Personal priorities
- Professional responsibilities